Score: \_\_\_\_\_ / 35

PSTAT 120A / MIDT	ERM EXAM / Summ	ner 2022 Ins	tructor: Ethan Marzban
NAME:		Perm Number:	
SECTION (circle one):	3:30 - 4:20pm (Lucas)	5 - 5:50pm (Moya)	8 - 8:50am (Moya)

# Instructions:

- You will have **55 minutes** to complete this exam.
- You are allowed the use of a single **8.5** × **11-inch** sheet, front and back, of notes. You are also permitted the use of **calculators**; the use of any and all other electronic devices (laptops, cell phones, etc.) is prohibited.
- Unless otherwise specified, simplification is not needed; however, all integrals and infinite sums (unless otherwise specified) must be evaluated.
  - One exception is that, whenever applicable, answers may be left in terms of  $\Phi$ , the standard normal c.d.f.
- Problem 9(c) is a bonus question; please note that bonus questions will be graded on an all-or-nothing scale.
- Good Luck!!!

**Honor Code:** In signing my name below, I certify that all work appearing on this exam is entirely my own and not copied from any external source. I further certify that I have not received any unauthorized aid while taking this exam.

X

## Multiple Choice Questions:

		L	~			
Question:	1	2	3	4	5	Total
Points:	1	1	1	1	1	5
Score:						

### Short-Answer Questions:

Question:	6	7	8	9	Total
Points:	5	11	8	6	30
Score:					

# 1 Multiple Choice Questions

*Please fill in the bubble(s) on the exam below corresponding to your answer. You do not need to submit any additional work for these questions.* 

1. Which of the following statements is true in general?

[1pts.]

- Pairwise independence implies mutual independence.
- $\sqrt{}$  Two mutually dependent events can be conditionally independent
- $\bigcirc$  There are  $2^n$  computations needed to establish the mutual independence of *n* events
- $\bigcirc\,$  Pairwise independence is a stronger condition than mutual independence.
- All of the above answer choices are **false**.

## Solution:

- The first statement is incorrect; we saw several examples (in lecture and also on homework) of events that are pairwise independent but not mutually independent
- The second statement is correct.
- The third statement is incorrect; the number of computations is  $2^n n 1$  (by an Extra Practice Problem on Worksheet 2)
- The fourth statement is simply a rewording of the first statement, which is false.
- Since the second statement is true, the fifth statement is false.

2. Given a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  and three events  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{F}$ , which of the [1pts.] following correctly computes  $\mathbb{P}(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3)$ ?

$$\bigcirc \mathbb{P}(A_1) + \mathbb{P}(A_2) + \mathbb{P}(A_3)$$

- $\sqrt{1 \mathbb{P}(A_1^{\complement}) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A_2^{\complement} \mid A_1^{\complement}) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A_3^{\complement} \mid A_1^{\complement} \cap A_2^{\complement})}$
- $\bigcirc \mathbb{P}(A_1) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A_2) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A_3)$
- $\bigcirc 1 \mathbb{P}(A_1) \mathbb{P}(A_2) \mathbb{P}(A_3)$
- $\bigcirc$  None of the other answer choices

**Solution:** This follows from DeMorgan's Law, as well as the multiplication rule for three events:

$$\mathbb{P}(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(A_1^{\complement} \cap A_2^{\complement} \cap A_3^{\complement})$$
$$= 1 - \mathbb{P}(A_1^{\complement}) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A_2^{\complement} \mid A_1^{\complement}) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A_3^{\complement} \mid A_1^{\complement} \cap A_2^{\complement})$$

- 3. Fill in the Blanks: Discrete random variables have state spaces that are \_\_\_\_\_, [1pts.] whereas continuous random variables have state spaces that are \_\_\_\_\_.
  - finite; infinite
  - countable; uncountable
  - $\sqrt{}$  at most countable; uncountable
  - uncountable; at most countable
  - $\bigcirc$  uncountable; countable
  - $\bigcirc$  None of the above.

**Solution:** See the definition on Slide 6 of Slide Deck 3.

4. Consider a random variable X with p.m.f. given by

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} k & -1 & 2 \\ \hline p_X(k) & 1/4 & 3/4 \end{array}$$

Which of the following is the correct value of  $\mathbb{E}[X]$ ?

- $\bigcirc 0$
- 1/2
- ) 3/4
- $\bigcirc 1$
- $\sqrt{5/4}$
- $\bigcirc$  None of the above

**Solution:** This follows from the definition of expectation:

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \sum_{k} k p_X(k) = (-1) \left(\frac{1}{4}\right) + (2) \left(\frac{3}{4}\right) = \frac{5}{4}$$

[1pts.]

- 5. In a bag of 100 marbles, 40 are blue and the remaining 60 are gold. Yaz draws [1pts.] marbles one by one at random, replacing the marble each time. If *X* denotes the number of marbles (including the final marble) Yaz has to draw before she observes her 3rd blue marble, which of the following accurately describes the distribution of *X*?
  - $\bigcirc$  Bern(40)
  - $\bigcirc$  Bern(0.4)
  - $\bigcirc$  Bin(3,0.4)
  - $\bigcirc$  NegBin(40, 0.4)
  - $\sqrt{\text{NegBin}(3, 0.4)}$
  - $\bigcirc$  HyperGeom(40, 100, 3)
  - $\bigcirc$  Poisson(0.4)
  - $\bigcirc$  None of the above.

**Solution:** Since sampling is done with replacement, we have independence across trials. If we define a success to be "Yaz draws a blue marble," then X tracks the number of trials needed to observe 3 successes, meaning  $X \sim \text{NegBin}(3, 0.4)$ .

# 2 Short Answer Questions

*Please mark your final answers in the spaces provided below each question.* **Be sure to show all of your work!** 

6. Consider a probability space (Ω, F, P) and suppose A and B are two events. [5pts.]
 Prove the identity

 $\mathbb{P}(A \setminus B) = \mathbb{P}(A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B^{\complement} \mid A)$ 

**Solution:** Perhaps the simplest proof was to recall that  $A \setminus B = (A \cap B^{\complement})$ meaning, by the multiplication rule,  $\mathbb{P}(A \setminus B) = \mathbb{P}(A \cap B^{\complement}) = \mathbb{P}(B^{\complement} \mid A)\mathbb{P}(A)$ thereby completing the proof. Alternatively, we could have started with the Set Difference Rule, and then used the multiplication rule:  $\mathbb{P}(A \setminus B) = \mathbb{P}(A) - \mathbb{P}(A \cap B)$ [Set Difference Rule]  $= \mathbb{P}(A) - \mathbb{P}(A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B \mid A)$ [Multiplication Rule]  $= \mathbb{P}(A) \left[ 1 - \mathbb{P}(B \mid A) \right]$ [Factorizing]  $= \mathbb{P}(A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B^{\complement} \mid A)$ [Proved on a Worksheet] again completing the proof. 

7. Let *X* be a continuous random variable with probability density function (p.d.f.) given by

$$f_X(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{25} \cdot x & \text{if } 0 \le x \le 5\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(a) Verify that  $f_X(x)$  is a valid probability density function.

**Solution:** We check the two conditions: nonnegativity, and integrating to unity.

- (1) If  $x \in [0, 5]$  then clearly  $x \ge 0$ , meaning  $f_X(x) \ge 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (2) We compute

$$\int_{0}^{5} \frac{2}{25} x \, \mathrm{d}x = \frac{2}{25} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \left[ x^{2} \right]_{x=0}^{x=5} = \frac{1}{25} (25-0) = 1 \checkmark$$

Therefore,  $f_X(x)$  is a valid probability density function.

(b) Compute

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{(1+X^2)}\right]$$

Show all of your steps, including any integration you perform!

**Solution:** By the LOTUS,  

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{(1+X^2)}\right] = \int_0^5 \frac{1}{1+x^2} \cdot \frac{2}{25}x \, dx = \frac{1}{25} \int_0^5 \frac{2x}{1+x^2} \, dx$$
To evaluate this integral, we make a *u*-substitution:  $u = 1 + x^2$ , so  $du = 2x \, dx$  and

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{(1+X^2)}\right] = \frac{1}{25} \int_0^5 \frac{2x}{1+x^2} \, \mathrm{d}x = \frac{1}{25} \int_1^{26} \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{u} = \frac{\ln(26)}{25}$$

[4pts.]

[3pts.]

(c) Find  $F_X(x)$ , the cumulative distribution function (c.d.f.) of *X*. Be sure to consider all cases!

[4pts.]

**Solution:** There are three cases to consider:

- If x < 0 then  $F_X(x) = 0$
- If  $x \ge 5$  then  $F_X(x) = 1$
- If  $x \in [0,5]$  then

$$F_X(x) = \int_0^x \frac{2}{25} t \, \mathrm{d}t = \frac{x^2}{25}$$

So, putting everything together:

$$F_X(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < 0\\ \frac{x^2}{25} & \text{if } 0 \le x \le 5\\ 1 & \text{if } x > 5 \end{cases}$$

- 8. The swanky new *GauchoStay* hotel is under construction! But, things are a bit behind schedule; there is currently only a 15% chance that a randomly selected room will have a fridge in it, independently of all other rooms. A contractor goes from room to room, examining which rooms have fridges and which do not, however they are a bit forgetful and could visit the same room twice. For this problem, there is no need to simplify your answers.
  - (a) What is the probability that the contractor observes exactly 4 rooms with fridges among the first 10 rooms they examine?

[2pts.]

**Solution:** Let *X* denote the number of rooms (among the first 10) that have fridges; then  $X \sim Bin(10, 0.15)$  and

$$\mathbb{P}(X=4) = \binom{10}{4} (0.15)^4 (0.85)^6$$

(b) What is the probability that the 13<sup>th</sup> room the contractor examines is the [2pts.] fourth room with a fridge they observe?

**Solution:** Let *Y* denote the number of rooms needed to be observed before encountering the fourth room with a fridge; then  $Y \sim \text{NegBin}(4, 0.15)$  and

$$\mathbb{P}(Y=13) = \binom{13-1}{4-1} (0.15)^4 (0.85)^{13-4} = \binom{12}{3} (0.15)^4 (0.85)^9$$

(c) What is the expected number of rooms the contractor must visit before [2pts.] observing their third room with a fridge?

**Solution:** Let *Z* denote the number of rooms needed to be observed before encountering the third room with a fridge; then  $Z \sim \text{NegBin}(3, 0.15)$  and

$$\mathbb{E}[Z] = \frac{3}{0.15} = 20 \text{ rooms}$$

(d) Now, suppose that there are 200 rooms at *GauchoStay* and 30 of them have fridges. Additionally, suppose that the contractor now takes care to <u>not</u> examine the same room twice. What is the probability that the contractor observes exactly 5 rooms with fridges in a sample of 12 rooms they examine?

**Solution:** Let *W* denote the number of rooms with a fridge observed in the sample of 12; then  $W \sim \text{HyperGeom}(200, 30, 12)$  and

$$\mathbb{P}(W=5) = \frac{\binom{30}{5}\binom{170}{7}}{\binom{200}{12}}$$

- 9. In a drawer, you have 2 red socks, 2 white socks, and 2 green socks. You randomly draw a sample of 4 socks, without replacement; let *X* denote the number of matching pairs in your sample (by matching, we mean in color).
  - (a) What is the state space  $S_X$  of X?

**Solution:** Though it may be tempting to initially say that  $S_X = \{0, 1, 2\}$ , let us be a bit more careful. Specifically, is it possible to have zero matching colors? The answer is "no!" This is because we are sampling 4 socks without replacement; we will always have at least one color that is repeated twice in our sample (for those familiar with MATH/PSTAT 8 arguments, the reason for this is the Pigeonhole Principle, but such an argument is not needed for the purposes of this class). Thus,

 $S_X = \{1, 2\}$ 

(b) Find the probability mass function of X.

**Solution:** I admit that there was actually a small ambiguity in the problem which leads to two different (yet valid!) answers. The question really boils down to: "can we tell the two red socks apart?" [This relates to something called **distinguishability** which I did not explcitly mention in lecture.]

My assumption was that we absolutely can tell them apart; though we have two red socks, they are clearly two different socks. Therefore, letting  $R_1$  denote the first red sock,  $R_2$  the other red sock,  $W_1$  the first white sock,  $W_2$  the other white sock etc., our outcome space might look something like:

$$\Omega = \{ (R_1, R_2, W_1, W_2), (R_1, R_2, W_1, G_1), (R_1, R_2, W_1, G_2), \\ (R_1, R_2, W_2, G_1), (R_1, R_2, W_2, G_2), (R_1, R_2, G_1, G_2), \\ (R_1, W_1, W_2, G_1), (R_1, W_1, W_2, G_2), (R_1, W_1, G_1, G_2), \\ (R_1, W_2, G_1, G_2), (R_2, W_1, W_2, G_1), (R_2, W_1, W_2, G_2), \\ (R_2, W_2, G_1, G_2), (R_2, W_1, G_1, G_2), (W_1, W_2, G_1, G_2) \}$$

In this way

$$|\Omega| = \binom{6}{4} = 15$$

and our counting would look like:

- The event {*X* = 1} corresponds to "two socks of the same color, and two socks of different colors." Here is how we compute the number of 4-sock samples with this arrangement:
  - First we pick the color of our matched pair; there are <sup>(3)</sup><sub>1</sub> ways to do this.

[4pts.]

[2pts.]

- Then, from that color, we pick both socks:  $\binom{2}{2}$ .
- Finally, from the remaining 2 colors we pick both of them to constitute our non-matched colors: <sup>(2)</sup><sub>2</sub>
- Lastly, we pick one sock from each of these non-matched colors:  $\binom{2}{1}^{2}$

Thus,

$$|\{X = 1\}| = {\binom{3}{1}}{\binom{2}{2}}{\binom{2}{2}}{\binom{2}{1}}^2$$

and, since outcomes are equally likely, we simply divide by the number of 4-sock samples that can be drawn from a total of 6 (which is  $\binom{6}{4}$ ) to see

$$\mathbb{P}(X=1) = \frac{\binom{3}{1}\binom{2}{2}\binom{2}{2}\binom{2}{1}^{2}}{\binom{6}{4}} = \frac{12}{15}$$

- To find  $\mathbb{P}(X = 2)$  we could simply to 1 minus our probability above; I shall demonstrate the counting, though:
  - First we pick the two colors in which we have matches:  $\binom{3}{2}$
  - Then, from each we pick 2 socks:  $\binom{2}{2}^2$

Thus

$$\mathbb{P}(X=2) = \frac{\binom{3}{2}\binom{2}{2}^2}{\binom{6}{4}} = \frac{3}{15}$$

We can see that, thankfully, 3/15 + 12/15 = 1, so our probabilities do in fact add up to 1 (as they should!)

**However**, I saw several students made the (implicit) assumption that: "well, we can't really tell the two red socks apart, all that's important is that there are red socks!" In this way, we only need to track the number of each sock of each color in our 4-sock sample, and then compute what value of X this configuration corresponds to:

# Red	# White	# Green	X
2	1	1	1
2	2	0	2
2	0	2	2
1	2	1	1
1	1	2	1
0	2	2	2

From here, the argument goes: there are 6 outcomes, each equally likely, and so

$$\mathbb{P}(X=1) = \frac{3}{6} = \frac{1}{2} = \mathbb{P}(X=2)$$

**Technically speaking**, the issue with this approach is that these outcomes are *not* equally likely: take the first row, in which we have 2 red, 1 white, and 1 green. The question becomes *which* white and *which* green were included in our sample? There are really two of each, which leads to many more outcomes.

But, again, this was a much more nuanced distinction that I felt was unfair to assume of you so if you used either of the above arguments you were awarded full points!

(c) Compute  $\mathbb{E}[X]$ .

[1 (bonus)]

**Solution:** We simply use the definition of expectation:

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \sum_{k} k \mathbb{P}(X = k) = 1 \cdot \mathbb{P}(X = 1) + 2 \cdot \mathbb{P}(X = 2)$$
$$= 1 \cdot \frac{12}{15} + 2 \cdot \frac{3}{15} = \frac{18}{15} = \frac{6}{5} = 1.2$$

Or, if you had used  $\mathbb{P}(X = 1) = \frac{1}{2} = \mathbb{P}(X = 2)$ , then

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = (1)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + (2)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{3}{2} = 1.5$$

As was mentioned in the instructions, because this was a bonus question it was graded on an all-or-nothing scale; no partial credit was awarded, and credit was only awarded to the above two values.